Universally measurable sets and tucking.

Let X be a metric space. A set  $B \in X$  is called universally measurable if it is measurable with respect to every  $\sigma$ -finite Bonel measure on X. Note that the collection UM(X) of all universally measurable subsets of X is a  $\sigma$ -algebra Using the intersection of Measur for all  $\sigma$ -finite bonel measures on X). For a metric space Y, a function  $f: X \to Y$  is called universally measurable if it is (UM(X), B(Y))-measurable, i.e. the f-preimage of each Bonel set in Y is universally measurable.

Remark. Note that in the definition above, o-timinetess can be replaced by finitures, in other words, a set BSX is universally measurable <=> it is measurable with respect to every Borel probability measure. HW

It is a theorem in Descriptive set Theory that all acculate sets are universally measurably where a subset of a Polish space X is called analytic it it is the image of a Porel subset at a Polish space Y wher a Bonel Euclise f: Y-SK. Equivalently, A=X is analytic Z=> 3 Polish Y and a Borel w B=X×Y s.t. A=proj (B). A X

The definition of universally measurable functions is motivated by the tast that measurable functions are not closed mader compositions (HWG Question 6):

Prop. Univecally measurable tunctions are closed under composition: if X, Y, Z are

metric spaces and f: X -> Y, y: Y-> 2 are universally neasurable, her gof: X-> 2 is universally measurable. Proof. Uses push-forward measures and is left as HW.

Pushforward measures.

For measurable spaces (X, T), (Y, T), an (T, T)-recursible function  $f: X \rightarrow T$ , and a measure  $\mu$  on T, the pushforward of  $\mu$  via f is the measure for  $\mu$  Tdefined by  $f_{X,\mu}(B) := \mu(f^{-1}(B))$  for each  $B \in T$ . This measure is also somedimes denoted by  $\mu f'$ .

Examples. (a) let f: R/Z ≈ [0,1) ~ S' = t be given by x → e<sup>dTxi</sup>. Then the pushforward for the lebesgue the measure x via f is an invariant measure on S' under rota-in the pushforward for the define of S' on itself by multication.

(b) let f: R→ IR>0 = (0,00) be the exponentiation function & t> e<sup>×</sup>. Then the pushforward for a of lebesgue neasure via f is invariant nuller scalar multiplication, i.e. the action of (IR>0, -) on itself by translation.

In both of these examples, f is a group-isomorphism from (IR/Z, t) and (IR, t) to (S', t) and (IR, t). Since the lebesgue measure on  $IR/Z \cong [0,1)$  and on IR is invasiant under translation with respect to t. The pushforward measures via these isomorphisms are invariant under translation translation with respect to t.

(c) let (X, X), (Y, J) be reachrable spaces and let µ be a measure on (X×Y, I&J). Then the pushforward measures µ := proj \* µ and µ := proj \*µ are called the marginals of µ and µ is called a joining of µx and µy. In probability, measurable functions to some metric space (sometimes IN) are called random variables and for a random variable  $f:(\Sigma,\mu) \rightarrow X$ the value f(w) of some arbitrary is in called a sample. The pushforward  $f_{\#}\mu$ via f is called the law of f.

Example. Let Graph (IN) := the space of all graphs on IN, i.e. the vector set is always IN and the edge set is a subset of N? This space can be identified vi (h 2<sup>[N]</sup>, where (IN] is the set of all 2-element subcets of W. A random graph on IN is a Borel probability measure on Graph (IN). Most offen a condian graph is a push forward neasure hap via some random variable a: (J, )) -> Graph (IN) and one may say "let a bea cardon graph on IN". liven an operation p. baph(N) -> Graph(N), for exaple, cutting all cycles in a given graph, we would say that given a candom graghe h, we obtain another random graphe p(h). This just reaks that we suitched toom hope to (poli), p.

## Invariant measures: Haar measure

Det. let I be a group and I (X By) be a measurable action of I on a washre spice (X, B, p), i.e. each DET is a (B, B)-weasbrattle function. Then we say that Muis action preserves por that pis T-invariant if Y\*p=p tor all BET. Note that this is equivalent to  $\mu(q-B) = \mu(B)$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

In dynamics, given an action of a group I, one would like to have T-invariant probability recurres to work with.

Important examples of invariant measures are Haar measures on locally carpact goups, Det. A topological group a is a group equiped with a topology which makes the

y compoperation •:  $G \times G \rightarrow G$  and its inverse  $()^{T}: G \rightarrow G$  continuous. Theorem (Haad). Every locally compact Hansdorff topological group G admits a unique (ap to scaling) nonzero hocally truite Borel neasure (<=> timite on compact sets) that is invariant under the lift translation action of G on itself.